

PATENT RESPONSE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICERECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 20 2005

Application No.: 09/828,069
Filing Date: April 5, 2001
Inventor: Dustin M. Davis and Jane R. Garrison
Title: Method and System for Interacting with a Biometric Verification System
Examiner: Tremayne M. Norris
Art Unit: 2137
Attorney Docket: BAC-32568 (19620.0002)
Confirmation No.: 7258
Customer No.: 022202

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

Mailing

- ☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
- ☐ **37 CFR 1.8(a)** with sufficient postage as first class mail. ☐ **37 CFR 1.10** As "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No. _____

Transmission

- ☒ transmitted by facsimile to Fax No. (703) 872-9306 addressed to Examiner Tremayne M. Norris at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: 1-20-05

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dear Sir:

Introductory Comments begin on:	page 2 of 22
Amended Claims begin on:	page 3 of 22
Remarks begin on:	page 19 of 22
Conclusion begins on:	page 21 of 22
Extension of Time begins on:	page 22 of 22

USSN 09/828,069

PATENT RESPONSE

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

After careful review, Applicant hereby responds to a December 20, 2004 Restriction Requirement regarding the above-referenced patent application. In view of this Response, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Applicant has not added new matter with this Response and intends the scope of the invention and claims to be the same before and after this Response. Indeed, Applicant only offers this Response to clarify the invention for the Examiner and to assist the Examiner's understanding of the same. More specifically, Applicant has not intended this Response to effectuate a narrowing of the claims, foreclose techniques that are not reasonably foreseeable at this time, or effect the applicability and scope of the Doctrine of Equivalents.